EXHIBIT G

REDACTED

	Page 1
1	
2	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
4	ALEXANDRIA DIVISION
	x
5	UNITED STATES, et al.,
6	Plaintiffs,
7	vs. Case No.
	1:23-cv-000108
8	GOOGLE LLC,
9	Defendant.
	x
10	
11	
12	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
13	REMOTE/ORAL/WEB VIDEOCONFERENCE
14	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF JAMES GLOGOVSKY
15	Friday, August 25, 2023
16	9:11 a.m.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	Reported by:
	Jennifer Ocampo-Guzman, CRR, CLR
24	
25	Job No. CS6072653

Veritext Legal Solutions 973-410-4098

	Page 2		Page 4
1		1	
2		2	APPEARANCES (Continued):
3		3	
4		4	PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON & GARRISON LLP
5		5	Attorneys for Defendant Google LLC
6		6	1285 Avenue of the Americas
7		7	New York, New York 10019
8	August 25, 2023	8	BY: ERIN J. MORGAN, ESQ.
9	9:11 a.m.	9	ELIZABETH NORFORD, ESQ.
10		10	, , , ,
11		11	
12	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	12	THE NEW YORK TIMES
13	Remote/Oral/Web Videoconference	13	Attorney for The New York Times and the
14	Videotaped Deposition of JAMES	14	Deponent
15	GLOGOVSKY, held via Zoom Web	15	620 Eighth Avenue
16	Videoconference, pursuant to subpoena,	16	New York, New York 10018
17	before Jennifer Ocampo-Guzman, a	17	
18	Certified Realtime Shorthand Reporter		BY: DEMETRI BLAISDELL, ESQ.
19	and Notary Public of the State of New	18	
20	Jersey.	19	ALCO DECENT.
$\begin{vmatrix} 20 \\ 21 \end{vmatrix}$	Jersey.	20	ALSO PRESENT:
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$		21	MARC FRIEDMAN, Videographer
		22	
23		23	
24		24	
25		25	
1	Page 3	1	Page 5 HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
2	APPEARANCES:	2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning.
3	THE EMERITY OF DE	3	We are going on the record at 9:11 a.m.
4	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE	4	on Friday, August 25, 2023. Please note
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff United States	5	this deposition is being conducted
6	325 7th Street, Suite 300	6	virtually. Quality of recording depends
7	Washington, DC 20004	7	on the quality of the camera and
8	BY: JEFFREY VERNON, ESQ.	8	internet connection of all participants.
9	DIANA HENRY, ESQ.	9	What is heard from the witness and seen
10	RACHEL HANSEN, ESQ.	10	on screen is what will be recorded.
11	LEVI MARKS, ESQ.	11	Audio and video recording will continue
12	LE VI MAKAS, ESQ.	12	to take place unless all parties agree
	NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY	13	to go off the record. This is media
13	NEW YORK STATE OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL	14	unit number 1 of the video-recorded
14	GENERAL Attorney for Plaintiff State of New York	15	deposition of James Glogovsky in the
15	Attorney for Plaintiff State of New York	16	
16	28 Liberty Street		matter of United States, et al., versus
17	New York, New York 10005	17	Google LLC. This case is filed in the
18	BY: MORGAN FEDER, ESQ.	18	United States District Court, Eastern
19		19	District of Virginia, Alexandria
20		20	division, case number 1:23-CV-00108.
21		21	My name is Marc Friedman. I'm the
22		22	certified video legal specialist and
23		23	your court reporter today is Jennifer
		23 24 25	your court reporter today is Jennifer Guzman and we are both from the firm of Veritext Legal Solutions. I'm not

2 (Pages 2 - 5)

	D 10		Press 12
1	Page 10 GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1	Page 12 GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
2	A. I studied at Southern Illinois	2	record to work on the audio.
3	University in Carbondale, Illinois.	3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Standby, the
4	Q. What did you study?	4	time is 9:21 a.m. We're going off the
5	A. Finance.	5	record.
6	Q. And what did you do after college?	6	(A brief recess was taken.)
7	A. I began working at the Weather	7	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The time is
8	Channel, in media.	8	9:26 a.m. and we are back on the record.
9	Q. And I'm sorry. I didn't hear	9	Q. Okay. After another audio break, I
10	oh, in media, okay.	10	think we're ready to continue. Right before
11	How long were at the Weather	11	the break, you were saying, I think that at
12	Channel?	12	your previous job, at an ad agency, you
13	A. A little over five years.	13	directed strategy related to ad buying in
14	Q. And then where did you go after	14	social media and display media; is that
15	that?	15	right?
16	A. I went to a startup.	16	A. Yes.
17	Q. What kind of start up?	17	Q. Okay. What type of, can you give
18	A. It was an agency startup, for	18	me just like a couple of examples of the
19	media.	19	platforms you were buying on?
20	Q. Like an ad agency?	20	A. We were primarily buying on DB,
21	A. Yes.	21	Google's buying platform.
22	Q. What was that agency called?	22	Q. Okay. When did you come to The New
23	A. Anthro Agency.	23	York Times?
24	Q. And what did you do there?	24	A. I joined The New York Times in
25	A. I was director of strategy and	25	2017, I believe in August.
	Page 11		Page 13
1	GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1	GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
2	operations.	2	Q. Was there anything between the ad
3	Q. What kind of strategy were you	3	agency and New York Times?
4	directing?	4	A. I worked at Vice Media.
5	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to the form	5	Q. What did you do at Vice?
6	of the question.	6	A. I was director of revenue
7	A. Largely media strategy work.	7	operations.
8	Q. When you say media, what are you	8	Q. And what did that entail?
9	referring to?	9	A. It included the responsibilities of
10	A. Display and social media, across a	10	managing our monetization efforts around
11	handful of platforms.	11	programmatic, also the pricing and inventory
12	Q. What kind of platforms?	12	responsibilities for our direct business and
13	A. Ad buying platforms.	13	then also managing a lot of our data
14	(Discussion off the record.)	14	operations to ensure that we had accurate
15	MS. MORGAN: Maybe you could just	15	forecasting.
16	try to speak up. Okay.	16	Q. It's a lot of things.
17	Q. What ad buying platforms?	17	Okay. So you came to The New York
18	A. There were a number of them.	18	Times in 2017. What was your role when you
19	Mostly, the predominant ones in social and	19	came to the Times?
20	display media	20	A. I started at The New York Times as
21	(Discussion off the	21	director of yield.
22	record.)	22	Q. What did that role entail?
23	MS. MORGAN: Can we go off the	23	A. Primary responsibilities was the
			· -
24	record again and try to troubleshoot	24	pricing and inventory for our direct
	record again and try to troubleshoot this. I don't want to use time on the	25	business.

4 (Pages 10 - 13)

1	Page 14 GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1	Page 16 GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
2	Q. How has your role changed over	2	on relevancy grounds, if we are getting
3	time, just briefly?	3	outside of this case I don't know if
4	A. My role has evolved and changed	4	there are or there are not, but if there
5	periodically. I went from director of yield	5	were meetings about other
6	to now vice president of revenue operations	6	investigations, I would to object that.
7	and analytics, which has a broader remit.	7	Go ahead.
8	Q. What is that broader remit, what's	8	Q. How many times have you met with
9	your what do you do now?	9	the Department of Justice?
10	A. I still oversee digital direct	10	A. I do not know the specific number
11	pricing and inventory, with the additions of	11	off the top of my head.
12	our print business, also custom pricing,	12	Q. Is it more than once?
13	sales planning or media planning, ad	13	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to the form
14	operations and solutions and programmatic.	14	of the question.
15			<u>*</u>
	Q. I think you said this, but you do	15	A. Possibly.
16	that across digital and print? A. That is correct.	16	Q. You can't remember if it was more
17		17	than one time?
18	Q. Okay. I am going to come back to	18	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to the form
19	that.	19	of the question.
20	But I want to start by asking	20	A. No.
21	whether you're aware that between the fall of	21	Q. And the one time you met with the
22	2019 through 2021 the Department of Justice	22	Department of Justice that you can remember,
23	conducted an investigation into Google's ad	23	what did you talk about?
24	tech business. Are you aware of that?	24	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to the form
25	A. I am aware.	25	of the question.
1	Page 15 GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1	Page 17 GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
2			
3	Q. Did you meet with the Department of Justice at any point between 2019 and 2021?	2 3	MR. VERNON: Objection on relevance. If we are going you outside
4	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to the form	4	of the scope of this case, but go ahead.
	of the question.	5	A. It was an unrelated case around
5	A. I do not recall.		domain spoofing.
6		6 7	1 0
7	Q. You don't recall whether you met	8	Q. Have you ever talked to the
8	with the Department of Justice in that		Department of Justice about Google?
9	two-year period or you don't recall if you've	9	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to the form
10	met with the Department of Justice ever?	10	of the question. A. Not that I'm aware of.
11	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to the form	11	
12	of the question.	12	Q. Have you ever talked to the
13	A. I do not recall meeting with the	13	Department of Justice about ad tech tools?
14	Department of Justice about that specific	14	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to the form
15	topic or investigation.	15	of the question.
16	Q. Did you meet with the Department of	16	A. During the conversation, ad tech
17	Justice to talk about ad tech at any point?	17	tools would have come up based on the topic.
18	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to the form	18	Q. How did ad tech tools come up in a
19	of the question.	19	discussion about spoofing?
20	A. I do not recall.	20	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to the form
21	Q. How many times have you met with	21	of the question.
22	the Department of Justice?	22	A. Ad tech tools would have come up
23	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to form of	23	based on the publishers' knowledge, The New
24	the question.	24	York Times' knowledge about how ad spoofing
25	MR. VERNON: I just want to object	25	takes place.

5 (Pages 14 - 17)

1	Page 170 GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1	Page 172 GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	Google's DSP?	2	Q. Focusing on open auction
3	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to form.	3	specifically, what is your best estimate for
4	A. We have a limited understanding of	4	whether Google's DSP either does or does not
5	the uniqueness from the DSP side. We also	5	provide unique demand for The New York Times?
6	understand that many advertisers and agencies	6	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to form.
7	use a multitude of different DSPs	7	A. I'm going to ask you to clarify
8	interchangeably for different KPIs, different	8	again. I'm trying to understand what you're
9	campaigns, on many different brands within	9	looking for as the best guess.
10	the advertiser, so it is hard to say how	10	Q. Just qualitatively whether your
11	unique that demand from the DSP specifically	11	best estimate, based on your experience in
12	that Google operates would be incremental	12	the industry, is either that Google's DSP
13	revenue to us.	13	does provide unique revenue for The New York
14	Q. Do you know one way or the other	14	Times or it does not?
15	whether the demand from Google's DSP is	15	A. As a percentage, unique revenue, I
16	unique?	16	unfortunately do not have a best guess on
17	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to form.	17	how, what percentage of our revenue coming
18	A. Sorry. Can you please clarify?	18	from Google auction, Google AdX open auction
19	Q. Sure.	19	is unique. I think that is a very difficult
20	Do you know whether how about	20	question to answer for The New York Times and
21	this: What is your best, best estimate,	21	any publisher as we do not have that
$\begin{vmatrix} 21\\22\end{vmatrix}$	using your experience in the industry, as to	22	visibility.
23	whether the demand that comes from Google's	23	Q. So stepping aside from the question
24	DSP is either unique or not unique?	24	of a specific percentage, what's your best
25	MS. MORGAN: Objection to form.	25	estimate for, based on your experience in the
23		23	·
1	Page 171 GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1	Page 173 GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
1		1	
2 3	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to form.	2 3	industry, whether the Google DSP either does or does not provide unique demand to The New
	A. May I ask a question? I have to		
4	clarify. Q. Yeah, go ahead.	4 5	York Times for open auction specifically? MS. MORGAN: Object to form.
5 6			, and a second s
	A. Are you looking for a figure?Q. No, no, no. Just qualitative. So.	6 7	A. For I'm sorry. I'm still
7			struggling with the question. I am going to
8	Let me just I'll ask the question again,	8	have to ask you again.
9	just so the record is clear.	9	Q. Sure. So I don't want to ask about a
10	What is your best understanding,	10	
11	based on your years of experience in the	11	specific percentage.
12	industry, as to whether the Google's DSP	12	A. Okay.
13	either does or does not bring unique demand	13	Q. What I do want to ask about is just
14	to The New York Times?	14	your best estimate, again based on your
15	MS. MORGAN: Objection to form.	15	experience, for whether Google's DSP either
16	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to form.	16	does provide unique revenue to New York Times
17	A. Based on my understanding of your	17	for open auction specifically or it does not?
18	question, for The New York Times	18	MS. MORGAN: Objection to form.
19	specifically, I believe that the best guess	19	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to form.
20	for unique demand coming through Google's DSP	20	A. From my personal capacity and my
21	would be less on the open auction side for	21	experience that you called out, I do believe
22	the DSP and more on the programmatic	22	that Google AdX does provide unique demand.
23	guaranteed side, as Google was a pioneer in	23	Q. Let me ask a slightly different
24	programmatic guarantee at an earlier stage in	24	question. I think you answered about AdX.
25	programmatic guaranteed lifespan.	25	Do you remember that?

44 (Pages 170 - 173)

Page 174 Page 176 1 GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 2 Focusing on Google's DSP, what's 2 MS. MORGAN: Objection to form. 3 3 your best sense for whether Google's DSP MR. BLAISDELL: Object to form. 4 A. In general we are actively seeking 4 either does or does not provide unique demand 5 to The New York Times for open auction 5 out unique demand across all of our 6 specifically? 6 programmatic providers or SSP providers, and 7 MS. MORGAN: Objection to form. 7 that uniqueness in each of those providers is 8 A. My best guess for The New York 8 very important, and the primary, one of the 9 9 Times specifically that AdX does provide reasons, one of the primary reasons of many unique demand for open auction. 10 10 reasons why we would work with them. And Q. But I think you referred to AdX specifically to your point, Google would be 11 11 12 again and I'm just trying to ask about the 12 one of those providers that we would, we 13 DSP. Does that make sense? 13 would consider that. 14 14 A. All right, yes. Q. Is it fair to say that the Google 15 Q. Let me just ask my question, so 15 display network has the largest source of unique demand for programmatic display for 16 it's clearer. 16 17 What's your best estimate, based on 17 The New York Times specifically? your experience, for whether Google's DSP MS. MORGAN: Objection to form, 18 18 19 either does or does not provide unique demand 19 lacks foundation. 20 for open auction specifically for The New 20 MR. BLAISDELL: Object to form. 21 21 A. Can you clarify the question? York Times? Q. Sure. 22 A. My best guess is that Google's DSP 22 23 has unique demand and transacts on The New 23 What's your understanding of what 24 York Times. 24 the largest source of unique demand is for programmatic display revenue specifically? 25 Q. Sorry. It took me a while to get 25 Page 175 Page 177 1 GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 1 GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL 2 2 MR. BLAISDELL: Object to form. there. 3 What is your best estimate based on your experience for whether Google's display 4 5 network provides unique demand for open 6 auction displays, specifically for The New 7 York Times? 8 MS. MORGAN: Objection to form. 9 MR. BLAISDELL: Object to form. 10 A. My best guess is that Google's 10 Q. In your last answer, did you mean display network does provide unique demand to to say that Google has the largest share of 11 11 12 The New York Times. 12 unique demand for programmatic display for 13 13 Q. And why? The New York Times? 14 14 MR. BLAISDELL: Object to form. MS. MORGAN: Objection to form. 15 A. From my understanding, the demand 16 from Google's ad network -- sorry, I'm 17 forgetting the appropriate, exact name --18 provides unique demand that is not available 19 to either SSPs from our understanding and is 20 only accessible through AdX. 21 Q. Can you explain, at whatever level 22 of generality you're comfortable with, how 22 Q. Understood. 23 important the unique demand that comes from 23 Let me switch topics politely. 24 Google's display network is for The New York 24 What is AdX' -- Google AdX' take 25 Times? 25 rate for open auction display for The New

45 (Pages 174 - 177)

1	Page 282 GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1	Page 284
1	because of the connections between DFP and	1	GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
2 3	AdX?	2 3	in this hypothetical scenario. Q. So it's fair to say that it could
4		4	be potentially beneficial for publishers to
5	MS. MORGAN: Objection to form, lacks foundation.	5	have the option to use DFP without using AdX;
6	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to form.	6	is that correct?
7	A. I believe it's difficult to answer,	7	MS. MORGAN: Objection to form.
8	because there are unique propositions by most	8	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to form.
9	ad tech companies whether it be SSPs or ad	9	A. If it was exclusively focused on
10	servers that are competing with one another,	10	programmatic open auction revenue that would
11	and my spec my opinion would be purely	11	be potentially a benefit in this hypothetical
12	speculation, whether it was hard or not.	12	scenario. However, there are other
13	Q. Can you identify a strong	13	considerations publishers would need to
14	competitor for Google as a publisher ad	14	consider and ensure that they have taken on
15	server today?	15	the understanding of the operational burden
16	MS. MORGAN: Objection to form.	16	and tax that it would require the
17	A. For The New York Times we have not	17	infrastructure, the capital intensivity in
18	recently RFI'd solutions for ad serving and	18	both in costs and in also how it would impact
19	we have not taken the actions to identify a	19	their other lines of business.
20	potential replacement to Google Ad Manager.	20	So it's not purely just an open
21	Q. Do you know whether there is a lot	21	auction answer.
22	of competition for Google as a publisher ad	22	Q. Is it fair to say that it could be
23	server today for display?	23	good for competition in the publisher ad
24	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to form.	24	server business if AdX were available
25	MS. MORGAN: Objection to form.	25	separately from DFP?
	<u> </u>		· ·
1	Page 283 GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1	Page 285 GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL
2	A. It depends on what Google perceives	2	MS. MORGAN: Objection to form.
3	as competition for both ad serving and	3	A. In this hypothetical scenario, with
4	monetization, and I would not be in the	4	the appropriate competitors, of the size, to
5	position to say whether or not there is high	5	fill this space that is being left behind in
6	level of competition that Google perceives or	6	this decision, it could be potentially
7	not.	7	beneficial. However, there are other factors
8	Q. Could you at least understand why	8	that publishers would need to consider in
9	it might be hard to compete with Google in	9	that switch or change in their strategy.
10	the publisher ad server business because of	10	Q. Why do you say that it could
11	the connections between DFP and AdX?	11	potentially be beneficial for competition for
12	MS. MORGAN: Objection to form.	12	AdX to be available separately from DFP?
13	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to form.	13	A. It's not a certainty that
14	A. I can reasonably understand that as	14	competition will meet the current level of
15	a reason that others may point to.	15	competition, and because of that, I cannot
16	Q. Is it fair to say that it could be	16	unequivocally confirm that it would be a
17	better for competition if AdX were available	17	benefit or not.
18	separately from DFP?	18	Q. Setting aside whether it's a
19	MS. MORGAN: Objection to form.	19	certainty, why do you say that it could be
20	MR. BLAISDELL: Object to the form.	20	better for competition if AdX were separately
21	A. In this hypothetical scenario,	21	available from DFP?
22	assuming that there were available	22	A. Theoretically there could be more
23	competitors of potential or of the	23	players in the space, which could potentially
24	necessary size to compete and fill the vacant	24	drive up CPMs and benefit publishers
25	inventory, it would be potentially beneficial	25	ultimately to make more revenue.

72 (Pages 282 - 285)

1	Page 298 GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1	Page 300
2	will reserve the rest of my time to use	2	STATE OF)
3	then.	3) :ss
4	MR. VERNON: Thank you	4	COUNTY OF)
5	Mr. Glogovsky, to Demetri and the court	5	COUNTI OF
6	reporter, Google's counsel and	6	
7	everybody. Definitely appreciate it.	7	I, JAMES GLOGOVSKY, the witness
8	It's been a long day and we thank you	8	herein, having read the foregoing
9		9	testimony of the pages of this
10	for your time. MS. MORGAN: I second that. Thank	10	deposition, do hereby certify it to be a
		11	true and correct transcript, subject to
11	you. I know it's painful to sit for a	12	2 2
12	deposition, especially on a summer	13	the corrections, if any, shown on the
13	Friday, and we really appreciate the		attached page.
14	time.	14	
15	MR. BLAISDELL: Sorry. Just before	15	TAMES OF OCOVERA
16	we go off the record, I will also note	16	JAMES GLOGOVSKY
17	that The Times also objects to	17	C
18	Ms. Morgan's attempt to reserve time for	18	Sworn and subscribed to before
19	some subsequent deposition. We've made	19	me, this day of
20	the witness available now for the time	20	, 2023.
21	that the parties were permitted to	21	77
22	examine him, and it's our position that	22	Notary Public
23	there will be no further deposition for	23	
24	no further questioning by either party	24	
25	to this case.	25	Job No. CS6072653
	Page 299		Page 301
1	GLOGOVSKY - HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL	1	
2	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay to close	2	CERTIFICATE
3	the record?	3	STATE OF NEW JERSEY)
4	MS. MORGAN: Yes, we can close it.	4	: SS.
5	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Standby. This	5 6	COUNTY OF MIDDLESEX)
6	concludes today's deposition given by	7	I, Jennifer Ocampo-Guzman, a
7	James Glogovsky. The number of media	8	Certified Realtime Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New
8	units used is six and will be retained	9	Jersey, do hereby certify:
9	by Veritext Legal Solutions. We are	10	That JAMES GLOGOVSKY, the witness
10	going off the record at 5:26 p.m.,	11	whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth,
11	Eastern Daylight Time. Thank you,	12	was duly sworn, via remote/oral/web
12	everybody. Have a great weekend. Nice	13	videoconference, and that such deposition is
13	working with you.	14	a true record of the testimony given by the
14	(Time noted: 5:26 p.m.)	15	witness.
15		16	I further certify that I am not
16		17	related to any of the parties to this action
17		18	by blood or marriage, and that I am in no
18		19	way interested in the outcome of this
19		20	matter.
20		21	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have
21		22	hereunto set my hand this 27th day of August
22		23	2023.
23		24	
24			J. Ocampo- GUZMAN
25		25	JENNIFER OCAMPO-GUZMAN, CRR, CLR

76 (Pages 298 - 301)